

ASSOCIATION ANALYSIS OF YIELD AND YIELD PARAMETERS IN BRINJAL (SOLANUM MELONGENA L.)

N. Madhavi*, J. Om Prasad, P. Bijalwan and V. Sekhar

Department of Vegetable Science, Uttarakhand University of Horticultural and Forestry, College of Forestry, Ranichauri Campus, Tehri Garhwal - 249 199 (Uttarakhand), India.

Abstract

Studies on correlation & Path coefficient analysis carried out in 21 genotypes of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) revealed that the fruit yield per plant was positively and significantly correlated with number of pickings ($r_p = 0.456$, $r_g = 0.468$), fruit volume ($r_p = 0.371$, $r_g = 0.381$), number of fruits per plant ($r_p = 0.371$, $r_g = 0.368$) and average fruit weight ($r_p = 0.246$, $r_g = 0.247$), but negative and significant association was found with days to 50% flowering ($r_p = -0.395$, $r_g = -0.422$) indicating that early flowering and early picking (first picking) might be associated with increasing the number of fruits per plant. Path coefficient analysis indicated that by average fruit weight (1.481), number of pickings (1.149), flowers per cluster (0.396), number of fruits per plant (0.389), plant height at 50% flowering (0.379), fruit volume (0.284), days to 50% flowering (0.203) and leaf area (0.194) positive direct effect to fruit yield per plant. Negative direct effect of fruit diameter (-0.533), fruits per cluster (-0.309) and plant height at last picking (-0.206) and fruit setting percentage (-0.188) were as component characters towards influencing fruit yield of brinjal genotypes suitable for hills in Garhwal Himalayas.

Key words: Correlation, path analysis, yield components, brinjal.

Introduction

The correlation coefficients are worked out to describe the degree of association between independent and dependent variables. The phenotypic correlation indicate the extent of observed relationship between two characters and these include both heredity and the environmental influences, while genotypic correlation coefficient provides a real association between two characters and are highly useful in selection (Johnson et al., 1955). The genotypic correlation coefficients (r_a) in general were higher than their corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient (r_p) for most of the character pairs, indicating a strong inherent association between the characters in brinjal (Singh and Singh, 1981; Kalda et al., 1996 and Senapati et al., 2009). Path coefficient analysis measures the direct influence of one variable upon another and permits the separation of correlation coefficients into components of direct and indirect effects. This gives clear picture of direct and indirect effects of the various traits on fruit yield of plant.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out during the kharif season of 2013 at Vegetable Research Block of Department of Vegetable Science, Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Ranichauri Campus (30° 18' N latitude and 78° 24' E longitude at an elevation of 2000 m), Tehri Garhwal, Uttarakhand. Seeds of twenty one genotypes were (Arka Shirish, Utkal Madhuri, DBL-329, Uttara, JBGR-1, Azad T-3, Azad B-3, Utkal Keshri, Punjab Nagini, Swarna Avilamb, Pusa Shyamal, GOB-1, CH-10-45, NDB-3, Annamalai, Brinjal Local Long, Pant Samrat, Mukta Keshri, PR-5, Swarna Shoba and Utkal Tarni) sown in different rows on a raised bed nursery followed by normal nursery practices. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications at the spacing of 60 cm between rows and 45 cm between plant to plant. All the recommended cultural practices measures were followed data were recorded for 16 characters.

^{*}Author for correspondence: E-mail: priyamadhavilatha@gmail.com

Results and Discussion

In present investigation, phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were worked out for sixteen traits in all possible combination (table 1).

Fruit yield per plant was significantly and positively correlated at both phenotypic and genotypic levels with number of pickings, fruit volume, number of fruits per plant and average fruit weight. It indicated that for improvement of fruit yield per plant in brinjal selection should be practiced keeping in the view fruit volume, fruit weight and number of fruits per plant. Similar results on correlation of fruit yield per plant have been also reported by Kushwah and Bandhyopadhya (2005) with number of pickings, Panja et al. (2013) with fruit volume, Dahatonde et al. (2010) and Ahmed et al. (2013) with number of fruits per plant and Nalini et al. (2009) and Muniappan et al. (2010) with average fruit weight. Significant negative correlation at both phenotypic and genotypic levels of fruit yield per plant was observed with days to 50% flowering. Negative correlation between fruit yield per plant and days to 50% flowering indicated that late flowering genotypes could not be high yielding particularly in temperate hill conditions during kharif season as late bearing genotypes would readily be prone to low temperature in late *Kharif*. Therefore, early flowering and fruiting type genotypes should be selected for Kharif cultivation in temperate hills of Uttarakhand so that the crop may get prolonged high temperature period to explore it their full potentiality of fruiting. Similar results on correlation of fruit yield was also reported by Mishra et al. (2002) in capsicum; Kamani et al. (2008) and Shinde et al. (2012) in brinjal with days to 50% flowering.

Phenotypic path coefficient analysis

Path coefficient analysis at phenotypic level (table 2) exhibited that maximum direct positive effect on fruit yield per plant was registered by average fruit weight (1.481), number of pickings (1.149), flowers per cluster (0.396), number of fruits per plant (0.389), plant height at 50% flowering (0.379), fruit volume (0.284), days to 50% flowering (0.203) and leaf area (0.194). High positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant in brinjal have also been reported by Nayak and Nagre (2013) for average fruit weight, Nayak and Nagre (2013) for flowers per cluster, Dahatonde et al. (2010) for number of fruits per plant, Senapati et al. (2009) for days to 50% flowering, Chattopadhyay et al. (2011) for plant height at 50% flowering, Panja et al. (2013) for fruit volume and Dixit (2000) and Kushwah and Bandhyopadhya (2007) for leaf area.

The direct selection for these characters would be beneficial for crop improvement since most of these characters also showed positive coefficient of correlation with fruit yield per plant. The residual effect of phenotypic path coefficient analysis was 29.87% that indicated that almost 70.13% variability in fruit yield per plant was explainable through the selected components.

Genotypic path coefficient analysis

A perusal of genotypic path coefficient analysis (table 1) showed that average fruit weight had maximum direct positive effect (1.980) on fruit yield per plant followed by number of pickings (1.791), plant height at 50% flowering (1.020), days to 50% flowering (0.576), flowers per cluster (0.386), fruit volume (0.319), leaf area (0.274) and number of fruits per plant (0.246).

High positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant in brinjal have also been reported by Shinde et al. (2012) and Nayak and Nagre (2013) for average fruit weight, Nayak and Nagre (2013) and Rekha and Celine (2013) for plant height at 50% flowering, Muniappan et al. (2010) for days to 50% flowering, Nalini et al. (2009) for flowers per cluster, Panja et al. (2013) for fruit volume, Kushwah and Bandhyopadhya (2007) for leaf area, Muniappan et al. (2010); Shinde et al. (2012) and Rekha and Celine (2013) for number of fruits per plant. The direct selection for these characters would be beneficial for crop improvement since most of these characters also showed positive coefficient of correlation with fruit yield per plant. The residual factor for genotypic path coefficient analysis in this study was obtained 0.2408, which indicate 75.92% of viability among genotypes for fruit yield per plant was explainable through selected components and only 24.08% of variability for fruit yield had not been covered.

Conclusion

On the basis of correlation and path analysis studies, it was concluded that the selection of genotypes to improve fruit yield per plant, should be imposed primarily for number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, fruit volume and fruit diameter on individual plant. While, other characters viz., days to 50% flowering, plant height at 50% flowering, number of pickings and dry matter content should be considered as second most important characters. Other characters, however, did not show any significant association with fruit yield in brinjal for improving population for fruit yield in low temperature prone mid to high altitudes of western Himalayas. The residual effect of both genotypic and phenotypic path coefficients suggested that more number of characters should be in-corporate to account the entire variability of brinjal crop in high-hills of Uttarakhand.

Table 1: Estimates of phenotypic & genotypic correlation coefficients between different characters in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.).

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>														
Characters		ıţ	Number	Leaf	Flowers	Fruits	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Number	₹	Plant	Dry	Number	Fruit
		at 50%	of hansh	area	per	per	setting	length	diameter	volume (cm3)	of fruits	fruit	height	matter	of Siele:	yield
		inowering (cm)	es per		ciuster	ciuster	percent age (%)			(cill)	per	meigint (g)	at last picking	(%)	picki- ngs	per
			plant				,)				•	Ò	(cm)	,	0	(Kg)
Days to 50%	Ь	**655.0-	-0.150	0.332**	0.167	-0.072	-0.462**	-0.433**	0.175	-0.053	-0.462**	0.036	-0.426**	0.440*	-0.391**	-0.395**
flowering	Ü	-0.623**	-0.176	0.367**	0.182	-0.072	-0.492**	-0.464**	0.199	-0.061	-0.496**	0.037	-0.482**	0.503**	-0.426**	-0.422**
Plant height at	Ь		0.097	-0.131	-0.235	-0.075	0.340**	0.463**	-0.146	-0.064	0.032	0.108	0.854**	-0.388**	0.007	0.199
50% flowering (cm)	ŭ		0.102	-0.146	-0.241*	-0.074	0.381**	0.498**	-0.149	-0.064	0.036	0.113	0.873**	-0.424**	0.007	0.218
Number of	Ь			0.089	0.174	0.044	-0.170	0.016	960.0-	-0.099	0.316*	-0.190	0.026	0.367**	0.230	0.196
branches /plant	Ü			0.082	0.182	0.050	-0.180	0.011	-0.097	-0.101	0.335*	-0.201	0.032	0.411**	0.254*	0.201
I gof omo (gm2)	Ь				0.086	-0.092	-0.194	-0.114	-0.094	-0.328**	-0.248*	-0.068	0.073	0.236	-0.206	-0.199
Leai alea (ciii')	Ü				0.088	-0.093	-0.195	-0.125	-0.095	-0.330**	-0.251*	-0.068	0.075	0.244*	-0.207	-0.207
Flowers per	Ь					0.821**	-0.205	0.306*	-0.447**	-0.475**	0.466**	-0.653**	-0.322**	-0.078	0.317*	-0.100
cluster	ט					0.828**	-0.202	0.3098*	-0.462**	-0.487**	0.484**	-0.668**	-0.334**	-0.080	0.324*	-0.100
Fruits per	Ь						0.351**	0.501**	-0.534**	-0.524**	0.663**	-0.651**	-0.125	-0.132	0.522**	-0.037
cluster	Ü						0.354**	0.514**	-0.550**	-0.544**	0.692**	**0.670**	-0.128	-0.149	0.544**	-0.037
Fruit setting	Ь							0.381**	-0.196	-0.151	0.348**	-0.091	0.408**	-0.123	0.409**	0.085
percentage (%)	Ü							0.405**	-0.200	-0.166	0.358**	-0.092	0.440**	-0.148	0.431**	0.085
Fruit length	Ь								-0.260*	-0.115	0.368**	-0.344**	0.390**	-0.145	0.470**	0.190
(cm)	Ü								-0.270*	-0.116	0.379**	-0.355**	0.411**	-0.152	0.486**	0.193
Fruit diameter	Ь									0.822**	-0.497**	0.705**	-0.191	0.321*	-0.317*	0.192
(cm)	ŭ									0.837**	-0.505**	0.715**	-0.205	0.335*	-0.323*	0.202
Fruit volume	Ь										-0.313*	0.549**	-0.141	0.194	-0.076	0.371**
(cm ³)	G										-0.316*	0.552**	-0.144	0.195	-0.077	0.381**
Number of	Ь											-0.643**	-0.019	-0.135	0.835**	0.361**
fruits per plant	Ŋ											-0.648**	-0.015	-0.144	0.851**	0.378**
Average fruit	Ь												0.142	0.041	-0.628**	0.246*
weight(g)	Ŋ												0.145	0.044	-0.636**	0.247*
Plant height at	Ь													-0.203	0.035	0.202
last picking (cm)	G													-0.220	0.031	0.218
Dry matter	Ь														0.095	0.003
content (%)	Ü														0.097	0.010
Number of	Ь															0.456**
pickings	G															0.468**
*Cicaition	101.01	*	Circle 107 100 1	1,,,,1											•	

*Significant at 5% level, ** Significant at 1% level.

Table 2: Path coefficient analysis showing the direct and indirect effect of 16 characters on fruit yield per plant in brinjal at genotypic & phenotypic level.

			0						,		,	;				
Characters	Days to	to Plant	Number	Leaf	Flowers	Fruits	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Number	Average	Plant	Dry	Number	Genotypic
	flowering		bra		per cluster	cluster			(cm)	(cm³)	fruits	weight	at last	content	picki-	(rg) with
		flowering (cm)	ng per plant				tage (%)				per plant	(g)	picking (cm)	%	sgu	fruit yield per plant
Days to 50%	G 0.576	-0.359	-0.101	0.211	0.105	-0.041	-0.283	-0.267	0.114	-0.035	-0.285	0.021	-0.278	0.289	-0.245	-0.422
flowering	P 0.203	.0.113	-0.03	0.067	0.034	-0.014	-0.094	-0.088	0.035	-0.01	-0.094	0.007	-0.086	0.089	-0.079	-0.395
Plant height at 50%	G -0.635	35 1.020	0.104	-0.149	-0.246	-0.075	0.389	0.508	-0.152	-0.066	0.037	0.116	0.891	-0.432	0.007	0.218
flowering (cm)	P -0.212	12 0.379	0.036	-0.05	-0.089	-0.028	0.128	0.175	-0.055	-0.0243	0.012	0.041	0.323	-0.147	0.002	0.199
Number of	G 0.01	1 -0.006	-0.061	-0.005	-0.011	-0.003	0.011	0	900.0	900.0	-0.02	0.012	-0.002	-0.025	-0.015	0.201
branches / plant	P 0.002	100.001	-0.018	-0.001	-0.003	0	0.003	0	0.001	0.0018	-0.005	0.003	0	-0.006	-0.004	0.196
I ant ourse (am2)	G 0.1	1 -0.04	0.022	0.274	0.024	-0.025	-0.053	-0.034	-0.026	-0.09	-0.069	-0.018	0.02	0.067	-0.056	-0.207
Lear area (CIII-)	P 0.064	54 -0.025	0.017	0.194	0.016	-0.017	-0.037	-0.022	-0.018	-0.0638	-0.048	-0.013	0.014	0.046	-0.04	-0.199
Flowers per	G 0.07	7 -0.093	0.07	0.034	0.386	0.32	-0.078	0.119	-0.178	-0.188	0.187	-0.258	-0.129	-0.031	0.125	-0.1
cluster	P 0.066	56 -0.093	0.069	0.034	0.396	0.325	-0.081	0.121	-0.177	-0.1884	0.185	-0.259	-0.128	-0.031	0.125	-0.1
Fruits per	G 0.024	94 0.024	-0.016	0.031	-0.276	-0.333	-0.118	-0.171	0.183	0.181	-0.23	0.223	0.042	0.049	-0.181	-0.037
	P 0.022	22 0.023	-0.013	0.028	-0.254	-0.309	-0.108	-0.155	0.165	0.1625	-0.205	0.201	0.038	0.041	-0.161	-0.037
Fruit setting	G 0.137	37 -0.106	0.05	0.054	0.056	-0.098	-0.278	-0.113	0.055	0.046	-0.099	0.025	-0.122	0.041	-0.12	0.085
percentage (%)	P 0.087	37 -0.064	0.032	0.036	0.038	-0.066	-0.188	-0.071	0.037	0.0284	-0.065	0.017	-0.076	0.023	-0.077	0.085
Danit longth (cm)	G 0.021	21 -0.02	0	0.005	-0.014	-0.023	-0.018	-0.045	0.012	0.005	-0.017	0.016	-0.018	0.007	-0.022	0.193
Fruit length (cm)	P -0.01	0.011	0	-0.002	0.007	0.011	0.009	0.023	-0.006	-0.0027	0.008	-0.008	600.0	-0.003	0.011	0.19
Fruit diameter	G -0.179	79 0.135	0.088	0.086	0.417	0.497	0.18	0.243	-0.902	-0.755	0.456	-0.645	0.185	-0.303	0.291	0.202
(cm)	Р -0.093	93 0.077	0.051	0.05	0.238	0.284	0.104	0.139	-0.533	-0.438	0.265	-0.376	0.102	-0.171	0.169	0.192
Fruit volume	G -0.019	19 -0.02	-0.032	-0.105	-0.155	-0.174	-0.053	-0.037	0.267	618.0	-0.101	0.176	-0.046	0.062	-0.024	0.381
(cm ³)	P -0.015	15 -0.018	-0.028	-0.093	-0.135	-0.149	-0.043	-0.032	0.233	0.284	-0.089	0.156	-0.04	0.055	-0.021	0.371
Number of fruits	G -0.122	22 0.009	0.082	-0.062	0.119	0.17	0.088	0.093	-0.124	-0.078	0.246	-0.159	-0.003	-0.035	0.21	0.378
per plant	P -0.18	8 0.012	0.123	960:0-	0.181	0.258	0.135	0.143	-0.193	-0.122	0.389	-0.25	-0.007	-0.052	0.325	0.368
Average fruit	G 0.075	75 0.225	-0.398	-0.136	-1.324	-1.328	-0.183	-0.704	1.417	1.095	-1.283	1.98	0.288	0.088	-1.259	0.247
weight(g)	P 0.054	54 0.16	-0.282	-0.101	896:0-	-0.964	-0.135	-0.509	1.045	0.814	-0.952	1.481	0.21	0.062	-0.93	0.246
Plant height at	G 0.331	31 -0.599	-0.022	-0.052	0.229	0.088	-0.302	-0.282	0.141	0.099	0.01	660'0-	989.0-	0.151	-0.021	0.218
last picking (cm)	P 0.088	88 -0.176	-0.005	-0.015	0.066	0.025	-0.084	-0.08	0.039	0.029	0.004	-0.029	-0.206	0.042	-0.007	0.202
Dry matter	G -0.047	47 0.04	-0.038	-0.023	0.007	0.014	0.014	0.014	-0.031	-0.018	0.013	-0.004	0.02	-0.094	-0.009	0.01
content (%)	P -0.023	23 0.02	-0.019	-0.012	0.004	0.007	0.006	0.007	-0.016	-0.01	0.007	-0.002	0.01	-0.052	-0.005	0.003
Number of	G -0.763	63 0.013	0.455	-0.371	0.581	926:0	0.772	0.87	-0.579	-0.138	1.525	-1.139	0.056	0.174	1.791	0.468
pickings	P -0.449	49 0.008	0.264	-0.237	0.365	9.0	0.47	0.54	-0.365	-0.088	0.961	-0.722	0.04	0.109	1.149	0.456
Residual factor = 0.2408	0.2408	rg =	= genotypic correlation coefficient	orrelatio	n coefficie	nt	Diago	nal bold	Diagonal bold values are direct effects	direct effe	cts			•		

Residual factor = 0.2408Residual factor = 0.2987

rg = genotypic correlation coefficient rp = phenotypic correlation coefficient

Diagonal bold values are direct effects Diagonal bold values are direct effects.

References

- Ahmed, N., S. R. Singh and S. Lal (2013). Character association and path analysis in brinjal (*Solanum melongena L.*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **83**(1): 13-16.
- Chattopadhyay, A., S. Dutta and P. Hazra (2011). Characterization of Genetic Resources and Identification of Selection Indices of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Grown in Eastern India. *Veg. Crops Res. Bulletin*, **74**: 39-49.
- Dahatonde, K., V. N. Dod, P. K. Nagre and A. P. Wag (2010). Correlation and path analysis studies in purple fruited brinjal. *Asian J. Hort.*, **5(2)**: 428-430.
- Dixit, S. K. (2000). Evaluation of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) Hybrids under high hill condition. *M.Sc. Thesis* submitteted to GBPUAT, Pantnagar.
- Johnson, H. W., H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental viability in soyabean. *Agron. J.*, **47**:314-318.
- Kalda, T. S., B. S. Suran and S. S. Gupta (1996). Correlation and Path coefficient analysis of some biometric characters in egg plant. *Indian J. Hort.*, **53(2)**: 129-134.
- Kamani, J. M., B. A. Monpara and H. R. Dhameliya (2008). Genatic analysis for the yield attributing traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) II. Inheritance and association. *National J. Improv.*, 10(2): 88-91.
- Kushwah, S. and B. B. Bandhyopadhya (2005). Variability and Correlation studies in brinjal. *Indian J. Hort.*, **62(2)**: 210-212.
- Kushwah, S. and B. B. Bandhyopadhya (2007). Path coefficient analysis of some quantitative traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) under mid hills of Himalayas. *Res. on Crops*, **8**(1):255.

- Mishra, A. C., R. V. Singh and H. H. Ram (2002). Path coefficient analysis in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes under mid hills of Uttaranchal. *Veg. Sci.*, **29(1)**: 71-74.
- Muniappan, S., K. Saravanan and B. Ramya (2010). Studies on genetic divergence and variability for certain economic characters in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Electronic J. Pl. Bree.*, **1**: 462-65.
- Nalini, A. D., P. M. Salimath and S. A. Patil (2009). Association and path co-efficient analysis in elite germplasm lines of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Karnataka J. Agri. Sci.*, **22(5)**: 965-966.
- Nayak, B. R. and P. K. Nagre (2013). Genetic variability and correlation studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *IJABPT*, **4(4)**:211-215.
- Panja, B. N., M. K. Pandit, S. B. Chattopadhyay, D. Majumder, A. K. Sahoo, P. Pal and A. K. Senapati (2013). Identification of parameters for selection of superior brinjal genotypes under healthy and fruit and shoot borer (*Leucinodes* orbonalis Guen.) infested conditions. J. Crop and Weed., 9(2):172-176.
- Rekha, G. K. and V. A. Celine (2013). Correlation and Path analysis studies in round fruited brinjal. *Veg. Sci.*, **40(1)**: 87-89.
- Senapati, N., H. N. Mishra, M. K. Bhoi, S. K. Dash and G. Prasad (2009). Genetic variability and divergence studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Veg. Sci.*, **36(2)**: 150-154.
- Shinde, K. G., U. M. Birajdar, M. N. Bhalekar and B. T. Patil (2012). Correlation and Path analysis in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Veg. Sci.*, **39(1)**: 108-110.
- Singh, S. N. and N. D. Singh (1981). Correlation and Path analysis in brinjal. *Prog. Hort.*, **13**: 13-16.